UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/595,838	10/24/2006	Nathan Boyd	148/432US	7571	
ADAMS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW, P.A. Suite 2350 Charlotte Plaza			EXAMINER		
			FRANK, RODNEY T		
201 South College Street CHARLOTTE, NC 28244			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2856		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/04/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/595,838	BOYD, NATHAN			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	RODNEY T. FRANK	2856			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Ma	action is non-final. ce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-23 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) 23 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,4,5, 7,8, and 10-22 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 6 and 9 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers —					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 15 May 2006 is/are: a) ☑ Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/595,838 Page 2

Art Unit: 2856

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-22 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 21 is objected to because of the following informalities: the word "or" between "to" and "claim" in the first line of the claim needs to be removed. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 8, 10, and 12-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fleenor et al. (U.S. Patent Number 4,163,392; hereinafter referred to as Fleenor). Fleenor discloses an intake channel pre-conditioner for a fluid sampler wherein prior to fluid sampling, fluid is drawn from a fluid body to be sampled by a pressure source communicating with the channel. The drawn fluid is passed along most of the length of the intake channel and then expelled by the pressure source (Please see the abstract).
- 5. With respect to claim 1, Fleenor discloses a sampler purge system. The device is disclosed and illustrated in figure 1 as an apparatus with a means of connecting the

apparatus to the mains supply (35) a test chamber (15), a fluid tester (not shown, but disclosed in column 3, lines 20-32) for testing a variable of a fluid in the chamber and a purger (13) for purging a volume of fluid from the testing chamber. The claim calls for a volume of fluid that is substantially larger than the volume of the testing chamber. This limitation is not explicitly disclosed in the reference. However, since there is a constant need to purge the sample chamber in order to refill it, then the volume of the water that is purged is going to be larger than the volume of the chamber, and thus this limitation would appear to be met by the mere operation of the device. The purger replaces the fluid in the testing chamber with new fluid and the device has a pressure sensor (29) for measuring fluid pressure.

Page 3

With respect to claim 2, the water supply (37) is attached to a pipe, and this set up would constitute a hydrant, though not explicitly disclosed as such.

With respect to claims 4-7, the figures illustrate a controller (17) to control the purger and its operation. While the specific parameters of said purge controller are not explicitly disclosed in the reference, the design parameters are well known parameters to one of ordinary skill in the art and would be considered obvious to one of ordinary skill in order to have the device operate as intended and at a high performance rate.

With respect to claim 8, column 3 lines 58 through 61 disclose the purging procedure. While not explicitly disclosed as such, there must be some purge time that is associated in order to purge the chamber from the fluid.

With respect to claim 10, column 3, lines 23 through 31 disclose the use of a conductivity sensor in the test chamber, though it is not shown in the figures.

With respect to claim 12, the water is purged from the chamber to a container.

The container is an atmosphere of its own, so therefore the device is vented to atmosphere, the atmosphere of the sample container.

With respect to claim 13, column 3, lines 9 through 14 disclose the use of a memory.

With respect to claim 14, while not explicitly disclosed, if the device utilizes a memory, then the device would be able to download the memory data to something in order for the device to operate.

With respect to claim 15, the device would need a power source in order to operate so the inclusion of a power cell, though not disclosed, would be obvious in order for the device to work as intended.

With respect to claims 16, 17, 18, 20, and 21, the device is disclosed to work with water and a fluid.

With respect to claim 19, the figures illustrate the device connected to a fluid main supply.

With respect to claim 22, Fleenor discloses a sampler purge system. The method is disclosed and the device to practice the method is illustrated in figure 1 as a method of operating a fluid monitoring apparatus for monitoring a fluid in a main supply by connecting the apparatus to the mains supply (35) a test chamber (15), a fluid tester (not shown, but disclosed in column 3, lines 20-32) for testing a variable of a fluid in the chamber and a purger (13) for purging a volume of fluid from the testing chamber. The claim calls for a volume of fluid that is substantially larger than the volume of the testing

Application/Control Number: 10/595,838 Page 5

Art Unit: 2856

chamber. This limitation is not explicitly disclosed in the reference. However, since there is a constant need to purge the sample chamber in order to refill it, then the volume of the water that is purged is going to be larger than the volume of the chamber, and thus this limitation would appear to be met by the mere operation of the device. The purger replaces the fluid in the testing chamber with new fluid and the device has a pressure sensor (29) for measuring fluid pressure.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claim 23 is allowed.
- 7. Claims 6 and 9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to RODNEY T. FRANK whose telephone number is (571)272-2193. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5:30 p.m. EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hezron E. Williams can be reached on (571) 272-2208. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/595,838 Page 6

Art Unit: 2856

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Hezron Williams/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2856

/R. T. F./ Examiner, Art Unit 2856 June 4, 2009